IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

CWP NO.19513 of 2006

DATE OF DECISION: December 8, 2006

Sita alias Anupama Mudgal

….Petitioner

VERSUS

State of Haryana and others

….

Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINEY MITTAL
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE H.S. BHALLA

PRESENT: Shri Puneet Jindal, Advocate for the petitioner.

Viney Mittal,J.(Oral).

The petitioner has approached this Court for issuance of directions to the respondents to include her name in the list of "no loss no profit category" as per directions dated March 18, 2002 issued by this Court in CWP No.3311 of 2001.

Shri Puneet Jindal, the learned counsel appearing for the petitioner has contended that for redressal of her grievance, the petitioner has already filed a representation dated November 29,2005 (Annexure P.19) but the said representation still remains unresponded. Shri Jindal has further contended that the petitioner would be satisfied if directions are issued to the Director, Town & Country Planning, Haryana, respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the aforesaid representation, in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the request made by the learned counsel for the petitioner but without going into the merits of the claim made

by the petitioner, we dispose of the present petition with a direction to Director, Town & Country Planning, Haryana, respondent No.2 to take a final decision on the aforesaid representation (Annexure P.19) filed by the petitioner within a period of six months from the date a certified copy of this order is received, by passing a detailed and speaking order and take such further appropriate action, as may be required, in accordance with law.

A copy of the order be given dasti on payment of usual charges.

(Viney Mittal)
Judge

December 8, 2006
KD

(H.S. Bhalla)
Judge